

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,719	07/03/2003	Daniel M. Ginosar	B-113	4964
75	590 04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Stephen R. Christian BBWI			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
PO BOX 1625			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83415-3899			1754	
			D. 455) () () () () () () () () ()	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				90/				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/613,719	GINOSAR ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Edward M. Johnson	1754					
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addres	ss				
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory per preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so received by the Office later than three months after the natent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep b. wirod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI latute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commu. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 February 2005						
<i>'</i> _		This action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	/		rs, prosecution as to the me	erits is				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
	aim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	aim(s) is/are allowed.	aram mom continuoration.						
	aim(s) is/are rejected.							
	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
	aim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> Th∈	e specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
		accepted or b) objected to by	v the Examiner.					
	plicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · ·						
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			.121(d).				
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> Ac⊦ a) <u></u> /	knowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.[☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.						
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
_	Copies of the certified copies of the p		- -	ae				
	application from the International Bui		•	•				
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur						
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•				

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-31 and 38-44, drawn to a method of reactivating a catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 20.
 - II. Claims 32-37, drawn to a system for reactivating a catalyst, classified in class 422, subclass 211.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed could be used to practice a materially different process, such as a process for regenerating or pretreating a zeolite. The process as claimed could also be practiced by a materially different apparatus, such as an apparatus with specific means for recycling or with operation of

Art Unit: 1754

temperature and/or pressure control by hand rather than specific pressure or temperature control devices.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to Stephen Christian on 3/31/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1754

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/613,719 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

ell la. de

EMJ